

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMENSIONER FOR PATENTS Advancers, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/025,411 | 12/17/2001 | Ujjal Kumar Mukherjee | T-6000 | 4882 |
| 75 | 590 11/39/2003 | | EXAM | NER |
| Penny L. Prater | | | ARNOLD JR, JAMES | |
| ChevronTexaco Corporation P.O. Box 6006 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| San Ramon, CA 94583-0806 | | | 1764 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003 | 3 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| £3. | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | 10/025,411 | MUKHERJEE ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | James Arnold, Jr. | 1764 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from c, cause the application to become ABANDON | imely filed sys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 D | ecember 2001. | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the practice of the pract | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideration. | • | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine | are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig | n priority under 35 H S C & 110/ | (a)-(d) or (f) | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the fir 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pr 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the | ts have been received. Its have been received in Applica prity documents have been received. If (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). If of the certified copies not received the certified copies not received the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Its sentence of the specification of the certification of the certification of the certification and the certification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12 | ved in this National Stage ved. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. eceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal | ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

Application/Control Number: 10/025,411

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Response to amendment

The Applicant has overcome the double patenting rejection presented in Paper No. 8 by proper filing of a terminal disclaimer. Also, the applicant has overcome the claim objections presented in Paper No. 8. However, new grounds of rejection are set forth in this office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the overhead vapor stream" in line 1 of step (d). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the liquid stream" in line 1 of step (e). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. No liquid stream is produced in step (c). Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 2-15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,411

Art Unit: 1764

1.0

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record does not disclose a method for hydroprocessing a hydrocarbon feedstock which employs multiple hydroprocessing zones within a single reaction loop, each zone having one or more catalyst beds, comprising the step of passing an effluent from a hydroprocessing zone to a separator wherein the effluent is contacted with a hot, hydrogen-rich stripping gas to produce a vapor stream and a liquid stream; passing that vapor stream, after cooling and partial condensation, to a hot hydrogen stripper containing at least one bed of hydrotreating catalyst, where it is contacted countercurrently with hydrogen, while the liquid stream is passed to fractionation; passing the overhead vapor stream from the hot hydrogen stripper/reactor to a cold high pressure separator; and passing a liquid stream from the hot hydrogen/stripper reactor to a second hydroprocessing zone.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Arnold, Jr. whose telephone number is 703-305-5308. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30 AM-6:00 PM; Fridays from 8:30 AM -5:00 PM with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 703-308-6824. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,411

Art Unit: 1764

ja

. . . \$ *** *

November 14, 2003

Page 4

Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner